

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	These are technical questions that have little meaning or relevance for "the man in the street".
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	it needs to be expressed in general terms that the average voter can understand.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Several of the objectives are contradictory. For example, 3 and 4 versus 7 and 8.</p> <p>And, again, the nature of the questions is unhelpful to me. How would I know if they are "legally compliant" etc??</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	If you wish to address the general public, the questionnaire needs to be re-written.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not</b>	<p>Again, these questions are pointless, being designed for Planners rather than the public.</p> <p>As a public consultation it is entirely inadequate.</p>

<b>to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I would like to express views on what I think is good and what is bad, not "legally compliant" or "sound".
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Again, these are unsuitable questions.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 4 Port Salford
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	As I live in Prestwich, this part of the plan makes me want to leave asap.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I am not in a position to be "as precise as possible" as I am not a trained planner.
Family Name	Lupton
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1286830
Title	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Sorry to be so anti but the nature of the questions gives me no alternative.
Family Name	Lupton
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1286830

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 11 New Carrington
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	What is "strategic green infrastructure"? incidentally. otherwise ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I do not know or care if it is "consistent with national policy". Is there a national policy? Ditto.
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	A rough count gives 123 questions, almost all of which are couched in terms that make it virtually impossible for an ordinary person to answer. Hence my responses are negative. My qualifications, MA (Cantab), MA (Educ) PGCE and a long life are not enough.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Family Name</b>	Lupton
<b>Given Name</b>	Stephen
<b>Person ID</b>	1286830
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
---	----